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#### Abstract

We show that the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator on a finite, metric graph determines uniquely the connectivity matrix and the bond lengths, provided that the lengths are non-commensurate and the connectivity is simple (no parallel bonds between vertices and no loops connecting a vertex to itself). That is, one can hear the shape of the graph! We also consider a related inversion problem: a compact graph can be converted into a scattering system by attaching to its vertices leads to infinity. We show that the scattering phase determines uniquely the compact part of the graph, under similar conditions as above.


PACS numbers: 05.45.Mt, 02.40.-k, 02.70.Hm

## 1. Background and notations

The question 'Can one hear the shape of a drum?' was posed by Marc Kac [1] as a paradigm example for a class of problems which is of fundamental importance in many physical applications: given the quantum spectrum, can one deduce uniquely the basic interactions or the geometric constraints which specify the system? In Kac's original paper, this inversion problem is formulated for Laplacians on compact domains with boundary conditions (billiards), and in spite of approximately 50 years of active research, the complete answer is still an enigma. If the billiard boundary is allowed to have corners, one can draw different yet isospectral billiards [2,3]. Isospectral microwave cavities were also constructed [4] using the same models. Recently, it was shown that Kac's question is answered in the affirmative for simply connected domains with analytic boundaries with some symmetry restrictions [5]. For boundaries in intermediate classes of smoothness the answer is not known. The existence of isospectral systems was investigated for Laplacians on closed Riemannian manifolds [6] and for discrete Laplacians which are formed by the connectivity matrices of graphs [7]. In both cases, elaborate techniques were devised to identify large sets of different, but isospectral, systems. However, if the domains are analytic surfaces of revolution, the spectrum determines the manifold uniquely [8].

In the present paper we address yet another class of problems which go under the name 'quantum graphs'. The Schrödinger operator consists of the one-dimensional Laplacian on the bonds, endowed with boundary conditions on the vertices, which ensure that the spectra are real and discrete (see [9] for a detailed discussion and list of references). These systems recently attracted the attention of the quantum chaos community, since, in spite of their structural simplicity, their spectra display the complexity and statistics which characterize generic quantum chaotic systems such as billiards. Moreover, an exact trace formula [11, 12] can be written down in terms of the periodic orbits $(\mathrm{PO})$ on the graph, which is analogous to the semiclassical trace formula for chaotic systems. So far, this exact trace formula paved the way for the study of spectral statistics by applying combinatorial methods to PO expansions [13,14]. Here we shall show that it also provides the key to the affirmative answer to Kac's question, when applied to graphs.

A graph $\mathcal{G}$ consist of $V$ vertices connected by $B$ bonds. The $V \times V$ connectivity matrix is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i, j}=\text { number of bonds connecting the vertices } i \text { and } j . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A graph is simple when for all $i, j: C_{i, j} \in[0,1]$ (no parallel connections) and $C_{i, i}=0$ (no loops). The valence of a vertex is $v_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{V} C_{i, j}$ and the number of bonds is $B=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{V} C_{i, j}$. We denote the bonds connecting the vertices $i$ and $j$ by $b=[i, j]$. The notation $[i, j]$ and the letter $b$ will be used whenever we refer to a bond without specifying a direction. Hence, $b=[i, j]=[j, i]$. To any vertex $i$ we can assign in a unique way the set $s^{(i)}$ of bonds which emanate from it:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{(i)}=\left\{\text { all bonds }[i, k]: C_{i, k}=1\right\} \quad \operatorname{card}\left[s^{(i)}\right]=v_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall refer to $s^{(i)}$ as a topological star, since its definition does not require any metric information. For simple graphs,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i, j}=C_{j, i}=\operatorname{card}\left[s^{(i)} \cap s^{(j)}\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Directed bonds will be denoted by $d=(i, j)$, and we use the convention that the bond is directed from the first to the second index. The round brackets and the letter $d$ are reserved to denote the directed bonds. The reverse bond to $d=(i, j)$ is denoted by $\hat{d}=(j, i)$. In analogy to (2), we define the sets of directed bonds outgoing from or incoming to $i$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& s^{(i,+)}=\left\{\text { all directed bonds }(i, k): C_{i, k}=1\right\} \\
& s^{(i,-)}=\left\{\text { all directed bonds }(k, i): C_{k, i}=1\right\} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Note: $\operatorname{card}\left[s^{(i,+)}\right]=\operatorname{card}\left[s^{(i,-)}\right]=v_{i}$. A directed bond $d^{\prime}$ is defined to follow the directed bond $d$ at the vertex $i$ if $d \in s^{(i,-)}$ and $d^{\prime} \in s^{(i,+)}$. It is convenient to define a directed connectivity matrix $F$, with $F_{d, d^{\prime}}=1$ if $d$ follows $d^{\prime}$, and $F_{d, d^{\prime}}=0$ otherwise. Any directed bond $d=(i, j)$ belongs to the two sets, $s^{(i,+)}$ and $s^{(j,-)}$, and $C_{i, j}=\operatorname{card}\left[s^{(i,+)} \cap s^{(j,-)}\right]=\operatorname{card}\left[s^{(j,+)} \cap s^{(i,-)}\right]$.

We shall now define the Schrödinger operator on $\mathcal{G}$, and collect a few facts which will be used in the following. For a detailed exposition see, for example, [9]. We assign the natural metric to the bonds, and each bond is endowed with a length $L_{\mathrm{b}}$. The Schrödinger operator consists of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}-A_{\mathrm{b}}\right]^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on all the bonds, and $A_{\mathrm{b}}$ are constants which are introduced to break the symmetry between the counterpropagating waves on the bond. The differential operator is supplemented by
boundary conditions which are imposed in the following way. The most general solution of the Schrödinger equation on any bond $b=[i, j]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\mathrm{b}}(x)=a_{\hat{d}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(k+A_{\mathrm{b}}\right) x}+a_{d} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\left(k-A_{\mathrm{b}}\right) x} \quad \forall b \in s^{(i)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$, and $\hat{d}$ denote the two directions on the bond $b$, and $a_{d}, a_{\hat{d}}$ are yet unspecified complex numbers. The boundary conditions impose the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall d \in s^{(i+)}: a_{d}=\sum_{d^{\prime} \in s^{(i-)}} \sigma_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)} a_{d^{\prime}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vertex scattering matrix $\sigma^{(i)}$ is a unitary, $v_{i} \times v_{i}$ matrix. $\sigma^{(i)}$ can be either an arbitrary $k$ independent unitary matrix, or of the general class of (possibly $k$ dependent) matrices derived from matching conditions at the vertices [9,10]. The matrix $\sigma^{(i)}$ will be called properly connecting if none of its matrix elements vanishes. The transition probabilities $W_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}=\left|\sigma_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}\right|^{2}$ appear in the classical dynamics analogue, which is a random walk model on the directed bonds, with transition probablilities $W_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}$. As an example, consider the most commonly used and discussed quantum graphs, where the vertex scattering matrices are derived by imposing Neumann boundary conditions at the vertices. In this case $\sigma_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}=-\delta_{d^{\prime}, \hat{d}}+\frac{2}{v_{i}}$ and, but for the trivial vertices with $v_{i}=2$, these scattering matrices are properly connecting.

Combining the boundary conditions for all the vertices results in a secular equation for the wavenumbers $k_{n}$ which consists of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator. The secular equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(I-S(k))=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(k)$ is a $2 B \times 2 B$ unitary matrix, whose rows and columns are labelled by the directed bond labels. It is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d, d^{\prime}}(k)=F_{d, d^{\prime}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(k+A_{d}\right) L_{d}} \sigma_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The index $i$ denotes the vertex at which $d$ follows $d^{\prime}$, and $L_{d}=L_{\hat{d}}$ is the bond length which is independent of the direction of propagation. However, $A_{d}=-A_{\hat{d}}$, which distinguishes between the directions of propagation. $S(k)$ can be interpreted as a quantum evolution operator describing the scattering of waves with wavenumber $k$ between connected bonds. The wave which scatters at the vertex $i$ from $d^{\prime} \in s^{i,-}$ to $d \in s^{i,+}$ with an amplitude $\sigma_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}$ gains the phase $\left(k+A_{d}\right) L_{d}$ during the propagation along the outgoing bond $d$. With the next application of $S(k)$ the wave scatters again on the vertex to which $d$ is directed. If all $\sigma^{(i)}$ matrices are properly connecting, the waves on $\mathcal{G}$ propagate between all the topologically connected bonds. The unitarity of the matrices $\sigma^{(i)}$ guarantees that $S(k)$ is unitary for real $k$. This is also why the Schrödinger operator is self-adjoint and its spectrum is pure-point and unbounded on the real line.

The secular equation (8), with the form (9) for $S(k)$, leads naturally to the exact trace formula [11,12]

$$
\begin{align*}
d(k) & \equiv \sum_{n} \delta\left(k-k_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{p}\left(\mathcal{A}_{p} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k l_{p}}+\mathcal{A}_{p}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k l_{p}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}=\sum_{b=1}^{B} L_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the total length of $\mathcal{G}$. The sum extends over all the POs on the graph, and goes over primitive POs as well as their repetitions. The length of a PO is denoted by $l_{p}$. The coefficient $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ is a product over all the scattering amplitudes $\sigma_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}$, encountered along the

PO, times the length of the primitive PO , of which $p$ is a repetition. It is also endowed with a phase factor $\exp \left(\mathrm{i} \sum A_{d} L_{d}\right)$. The length of a PO is

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{p}=\sum_{b=1}^{B} q_{\mathrm{b}}^{(p)} L_{\mathrm{b}} \quad q_{\mathrm{b}} \in N_{0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The length spectrum is the Fourier transform of (10):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(l) \equiv \sum_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} l k_{n}}=2 \mathcal{L} \delta(l)+\sum_{p}\left(\mathcal{A}_{p} \delta\left(l-l_{p}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{p}^{*} \delta\left(l+l_{p}\right)\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Hearing the shape of the graph

After reviewing the necessary background, we are in a position to formulate the answer to Kac's question for graphs.
Theorem 1. The spectrum of a Schrödinger operator on a metric graph determines uniquely the graph connectivity and the length of the bonds, provided that

- the graph is finite and simple,
- the bond lengths are rationally independent,
- the vertex scattering matrices are properly connecting.

Before presenting the formal proof, we shall sketch its main idea: the length spectrum (12) is constructed from the Schrödinger spectrum. Its singularity at $l=0$ provides the total length of the graph $\mathcal{L}$, which, in turn, gives an upper bound to the individual bond lengths. The conditions that the lengths are rationally independent, and that whenever $d$ follows $d^{\prime}$ at vertex $i, \sigma_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)} \neq 0$, ensure that the lengths of all the POs which are consistent with the graph connectivity appear as $\delta$ singularities in the length spectrum, and their corresponding coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ do not vanish. Moreover, POs $p, p^{\prime}$ which traverse the bonds different numbers of times $\left(q_{\mathrm{b}}^{(p)} \neq q_{\mathrm{b}}^{\left(p^{\prime}\right)}\right.$ for some $b$ in (11)) have distinct lengths. We use these facts to isolate two classes of PO. The 2-POs of the type $[d, \hat{d}]$ provide the lengths of the bonds. The 4-POs of the type $\left[d^{(l)}, \hat{d}^{(l)}, d^{(m)}, \hat{d}^{(m)}\right]$ for a fixed $l$ and for all possible $m$ are used to identify the topological star at the vertex $i$ where $d^{(m)}$ follows $\hat{d}^{(l)}$. Once all the topological stars $s^{(i)}$ are found, we apply (3) to get the connectivity. Thus, the shortest, and structurally simplest, POs are used to extract the parameters which determine the 'shape' of $\mathcal{G}$.

The proof of the theorem proceeds as follows:
(i) Use the spectrum to construct the sum (12). Its singular support $R$ consists of $l=0$ and the infinitely many points $l_{p}$ of the form (11) on the real axis. The weight of the singularity at $l=0$ is $2 \mathcal{L}$.
(ii) Generate a finite set $P$ which consists of all the strictly positive lengths $l_{p} \in R$, which are less than $2 \mathcal{L}$. Exclude any length which can be expressed as an integer multiple of any other length, with a multiplier larger than 1. $P$ is the (finite) set of lengths of primitive POs on $\mathcal{G}$, with lengths in $(0,2 \mathcal{L}]$.
(iii) Define the basis $Q \subset P$, which consists of the minimum number of lengths $\lambda_{q} \in P$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall l_{p} \in P \quad l_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{\operatorname{card}[Q]} n_{q} \lambda_{q} \quad n_{q} \in N_{0} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P$ is a finite set, and therefore $Q$ can be constructed in a finite number of steps. Because of the rational independence of the bond lengths, the basis is composed of the lengths of 2-POs [d, $\hat{d}]$, with $\lambda_{q}=2 L_{q}$ where $L_{q}$ are the lengths of the bonds. $\operatorname{card}[Q]=B$ is the number of bonds, and $\sum \lambda_{q}=2 \mathcal{L}$.
(iv) In what follows we shall construct metric stars which will be shown to be in one-to-one correspondence with the topological stars (2): define two lengths $\lambda_{q}$ and $\lambda_{q^{\prime}} \in Q$ to be connected if $\lambda_{q}+\lambda_{q^{\prime}} \in P$. A length in $Q$ cannot be connected to itself since repetitions were excluded from $P$. The lengths in $Q$, which are not connected to any other length, correspond to disconnected bonds, which are identified this way, and excluded from the subsequent analysis. Given a length $\lambda_{r} \in Q$, consider all the clusters $C_{m}\left(\lambda_{r}\right) \subset Q$ satisfying the following requirements: (a) $\lambda_{r} \in C_{m}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)$. (b) All the lengths in $C_{m}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)$ are pairwise connected. (c) $C_{m}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)$ is maximal—adding another $\lambda_{s} \in Q$ will violate (b). Denote the number of $C_{m}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)$ by $N\left(\lambda_{r}\right)$. Metric stars are special clusters defined as follows. If for some $\lambda_{s}, N\left(\lambda_{s}\right)=1$ we shall define $\left\{\lambda_{s}\right\}$ as a metric star consisting of a single element. If $\operatorname{card}\left[C_{m}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)\right]=2$ then it is a metric star with two elements. All other $C_{m}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)$ are metric stars if, for any three elements $\lambda_{l}, \lambda_{m}, \lambda_{n} \in C_{m}\left(\lambda_{r}\right), \lambda_{l}+\lambda_{m}+\lambda_{n} \in P$. $P$ is pruned of repetitions. Hence, this condition excludes repeated triangular POs from being considered as stars. Using all the $\lambda_{r} \in Q$ as reference lengths, we construct all the different metric stars in $Q$. The bond lengths are rationally independent. Thus, the metric stars $S^{(i)}$ are in $1 \leftrightarrow 1$ correspondence with the topological stars (2). The proof is completed by writing the connectivity matrix in analogy with (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i, j}=\operatorname{card}\left[S^{(i)} \cap S^{(j)}\right] \quad L_{[i, j]}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{(i)} \cap S^{(j)}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The length spectrum can be used to get more information about the graph [15]. The coefficients of the $\delta$ singularities provide constraints on the values of the elements of the vertex scattering matrices. Assuming that the $\sigma^{(i)}$ are symmetric, one can determine the transition probabilities $W_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}$ from the coefficients of the lengths of three classes of simple POs. Denote $b=[i, j], b^{\prime}=$ $[i, k], b^{\prime \prime}=[i, l]$ and correspondingly $d=(i, j), d^{\prime}=(i, k), d^{\prime \prime}=(i, l)$. They belong to the same star. The three types of POs are $[d, \hat{d}],\left[d^{\prime}, \hat{d^{\prime}}, d, \hat{d}\right]$, and $\left[d^{\prime \prime}, \hat{d}^{\prime \prime}, d^{\prime}, \hat{d}^{\prime}, d, \hat{d}\right]$, with lengths $2 L_{\mathrm{b}}, 2\left(L_{\mathrm{b}}+L_{b^{\prime}}\right)$ and $2\left(L_{\mathrm{b}}+L_{b^{\prime}}+L_{b^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, with corresponding coefficients:
$\mathcal{A}_{[d, \hat{d}]}=2 L_{\mathrm{b}} \sigma_{d, \hat{d}}^{(i)} \sigma_{\hat{d}, d}^{(j)}$
$\mathcal{A}_{\left[d^{\prime} \hat{d}^{\prime}, d, \hat{d}\right]}=2\left(L_{\mathrm{b}}+L_{b^{\prime}}\right) \sigma_{d^{\prime}, \hat{d}^{(i)}}^{(i)} \sigma_{\hat{d}, d^{\prime}}^{(k)} \sigma_{d, \hat{d}^{\prime}}^{(i)} \sigma_{\hat{d}^{\prime}, d^{\prime}}^{(j)}$
$\mathcal{A}_{\left[d^{\prime \prime}, \hat{d}^{\prime \prime}, d^{\prime}, \hat{d^{\prime}}, d, \hat{d}\right]}=4\left(L_{\mathrm{b}}+L_{b^{\prime}}+L_{b^{\prime \prime}}\right) \sigma_{d^{\prime \prime}, \hat{d}}^{(i)} \sigma_{\hat{d}, d}^{(k)} \sigma_{d, \hat{d}^{\prime}}^{(i)} \sigma_{\hat{d}^{\prime}, d^{l^{\prime}}}^{(j)} \sigma_{d^{\prime}, \hat{d}^{\prime \prime}}^{(i)} \sigma_{\hat{d}^{\prime \prime}, d^{\prime \prime}}^{(l)}$.
Dividing (16) and (17) by (15) we get ratios of the matrix elements of a single $\sigma^{(i)}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{A}_{\left[d^{\prime} \hat{d}, d, \hat{d}\right]}}{\mathcal{A}_{[d, \hat{d}]} \mathcal{A}_{\left[d^{\prime}, \hat{d}^{\prime}\right]}} \frac{2 L_{\mathrm{b}} L_{b^{\prime}}}{\left(L_{\mathrm{b}}+L_{\mathrm{b}}^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{\sigma_{d^{\prime}, \hat{d}}^{(i)} \sigma_{d, \hat{d}^{\prime}}^{(i)}}{\sigma_{\hat{d}, d^{(i)}}^{(i)} \sigma_{\hat{d}^{\prime}, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ratios of products of three matrix elements can also be formed. Using this information one can construct the matrices $\sigma^{(i)}$ uniquely, up to a phase factor since the ratios of the type (18) are invariant under a right and left multiplication by arbitrary diagonal matrices. Hence, one obtains only absolute values: in other words, the transition probabilities $W_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}$. When $\sigma^{(i)}$ are real, $L_{\mathrm{b}} A_{\mathrm{b}} \bmod 2 \pi$ can also be computed from the weights of simple POs.

## 3. Application to non-compact (scattering) graphs

A related inversion problem, is encountered when the compact graph is turned into a scattering system by coupling to its vertices leads (bonds) to infinity [16]. It is assumed throughout that each vertex can be attached to at most one lead. The definition of the Schrödinger operator can be extended naturally to the non-compact case, and the coupling to the leads is achieved by modifying the vertex scattering matrices to include coupling to the leads. Let $N$ be the
number of vertices to which leads are attached, and the leads are denoted by the index of the vertices to which they are connected. Then, for every wavenumber $k$ one can find a solution to the Schrödinger equation which on the leads takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{l}(x)=I_{l}(k) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k x}+O_{l}(k) \mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{i} k x} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the outgoing amplitudes $O_{l}(k)$ are related to the incoming amplitudes $I_{l}(k)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{l}(k)=\sum_{l^{\prime}=1}^{N} T_{l, l^{\prime}}(k) I_{l^{\prime}}(k) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T(k)$ is the $N \times N$ scattering matrix. The conservation of flux ensures the unitarity of $T(k)$. An explicit expression for the scattering matrix is given in [16]. Here, we shall be concerned with the scattering phase defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(k)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \log [\operatorname{det} T(k)] . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scattering phase is the counterpart of the spectral counting function for compact systems. This can be best seen by considering the function $d_{R}(k)=\frac{\mathrm{d} \Theta(k)}{\mathrm{d} k}$. It assigns a normalized Lorentzian to each scattering resonance, and it is the counterpart of the spectral density (10). An exact trace formula for $d_{R}(k)$ was derived in [16]:

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{R}(k) & \equiv \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\gamma_{n}}{\left(k-k_{n}\right)^{2}+\gamma_{n}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{p}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k l_{p}}+\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k l_{p}}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{n}-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{n}$ are the poles (resonances) of $T(k)$ in the complex $k$ plane. As in the compact case, $\mathcal{L}=\sum_{b=1}^{B} L_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the total length of the bonds in the compact part of the graph. The sum extends over all the POs on the compact part of the graph, and goes over primitive POs as well as their repetitions. The length of a PO is denoted by $l_{p}$. The amplitude $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p}$ is a product over all the scattering amplitudes $\tilde{\sigma}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}$, encountered along the PO, times the length of the primitive PO of which $p$ is a repetition. It is also endowed with a phase factor $\sum A_{d} L_{d}$. The vertex scattering matrices $\tilde{\sigma}^{(i)}$ in the space of the directed compact bonds are sub-unitary ( $\left|\operatorname{det} \tilde{\sigma}^{(i)}\right| \leqslant 1$ ). To complete $\tilde{\sigma}^{(i)}$ to a unitary matrix a row and a column should be added to take into account the coupling of the compact bonds to the lead. For this reason, the rows of the classical transition matrix $\tilde{W}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}=\left|\tilde{\sigma}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{(i)}\right|^{2}$ do not sum up to unity, which take into account the flux which escapes through the leads. The same mechanism is responsible also to the reduction of $\left|\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{p}\right|$ relative to the corresponding $\left|\mathcal{A}_{p}\right|$. This accelerates the convergence of (22) and pushes its poles away from the real axis. A length spectrum similar to (12) is obtained from the Fourier transform of (22), and its singular support consists of 0 and the length spectrum of the compact part of the graph. The following result can be proved using the same argument as above:

Theorem 2. The scattering phase $\Theta(k)$ of a non-compact metric graph, determines uniquely the connectivity and the bond lengths in the compact part of the graph, provided that

- the graph is composed of a finite and simple compact part, with leads to infinity. A vertex can be coupled to at most one lead.
- The bond lengths in the compact part are rationally independent.
- The vertex scattering matrices are properly connecting.

The proof follows verbatim the proof of theorem 1.


Figure 1. Two isospectral graphs. The bond lengths are expressed in terms of the two arbitrary lengths $a$ and $b$.

## 4. An example of isospectral graphs

We have shown that the spectral density (for compact graphs) and the resonance density (for scattering graphs) determine uniquely the bond lengths and the connectivity, provided that the bond lengths are rationally independent, and the vertex scattering matrices are properly connecting. We shall now construct a non-trivial example of two different, yet isospectral, graphs, obtained when the requirement of rational independence is relaxed.

Extending the geometric construction of [3] we produced the pair of graphs shown in figure 1. This is done by taking the basic shape used by Chapman to produce his figure 14, in the limit where its width goes to zero and the resulting two arms are assigned the lengths $a$ and $b$, respectively. Their bond lengths are rational combinations of two arbitrary lengths $a$ and $b$, as shown in the figure. Neumann boundary conditions are imposed at the vertices. The spectra of the two graphs are the zeros of the corresponding secular functions (8), which for the present cases are

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{(I)}(k)= & \operatorname{tg}(2(a+b) k) \\
& +\frac{2 \operatorname{tg}(a k)+2 \operatorname{tg}(b k)+\operatorname{tg}((2 a+b) k)+\operatorname{tg}((a+2 b) k)}{1-(2 \operatorname{tg}(a k)+\operatorname{tg}(b k)) \operatorname{tg}(b k)+\operatorname{tg}((2 a+b) k)+\operatorname{tg}((a+2 b) k))}  \tag{23}\\
Z_{(I I)}(k)= & \operatorname{tg}(2 a k) \\
& \times \frac{2 \operatorname{tg}(a k)+2 \operatorname{tg}(b k)+\operatorname{tg}((a+2 b) k)+\operatorname{tg}((2 a+3 b) k)}{1-(\operatorname{tg}(a k)+\operatorname{tg}(b k)+\operatorname{tg}((a+2 b) k)) \operatorname{tg}(a k)+\operatorname{tg}(b k)+\operatorname{tg}((2 a+3 b) k))} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

We were not able to show directly that these two different functions vanish at exactly the same values of $k$. However, the theorem which underlies their construction [3] guarantees this fact.
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